Inhibition of Daptomycin by Pulmonary Surfactant: In Vitro Modeling and Clinical Impact
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The lipopeptide daptomycin has been approved for use in skin and skin-structure infections but has failed to meet statistical noninferiority criteria in a clinical trial for severe community-acquired pneumonia. Daptomycin exhibited an unusual pattern of activity in pulmonary animal models: efficacy in *Staphylococcus aureus* hematogenous pneumonia and inhalation anthrax but no activity against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in simple bronchial-alveolar pneumonia. Daptomycin was shown to interact in vitro with pulmonary surfactant, resulting in inhibition of antibacterial activity. This effect was specific to daptomycin and consistent with its known mechanism of action. This represents the first example of organ-specific inhibition of an antibiotic.

It is recognized that the pharmacology of the lung differs from that of the rest of the body and that this difference can affect antibiotic efficacy in the treatment of pneumonia [1]. Research has focused on antibiotic penetration into the lungs and, specifically, epithelial lining fluid (ELF), as well as on accumulation within alveolar macrophages [2]. Less attention has been paid to unique aspects of lung physiology, including pulmonary surfactant, a primary component of ELF. Surfactant is a complex lipid-and-protein mixture that coats the interior surface of the airway, reducing surface tension within the alveoli [3]. Antibiotic concentrations within ELF have been routinely measured, but the effect of surfactant on antibiotic function has rarely been investigated [4, 5]. We report on the selective inhibition of an antibiotic by surfactant, resulting in lack of efficacy in a specific clinical indication, bronchial-alveolar pneumonia (BAP).

Daptomycin is the first approved member of a new class of antibiotics, the lipopeptides [6]. It is rapidly bactericidal against gram-positive bacteria, including antibiotic-resistant isolates. The activity of daptomycin is strictly dependent on the presence of physiological levels of free calcium. Daptomycin has a novel mechanism of action—insertion into and disruption of the functional integrity of the G+ plasma membrane—which results in rapid loss of membrane potential, cessation of macromolecular synthesis, and cell death [7].

Daptomycin was approved in September 2003 for treatment of complicated skin and skin-structure infections. Phase 3 clinical trials were also conducted for the treatment of hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). Despite potent bactericidal activity (MIC\_90, 0.06 \( \mu \)g/mL) against *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, the most common gram-positive pathogen in this indication, daptomycin (4 mg/kg every 24 h) failed to achieve statistical noninferiority against the comparator, ceftriaxone (2 g every 24 h). Clinical efficacy in the pneumonia trial was 79% for daptomycin and 87% for ceftriaxone. The potential reasons for this outcome were investigated in a series of rodent-infection models, leading to the development of a novel in vitro susceptibility assay designed to better mimic the physiology of the lung.

**METHODS**

Animal experiments were performed in the Cubist Pharmaceuticals vivarium in accordance with guidelines cre-
Daptomycin efficacy in pulmonary infection models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Dose, mg/kg</th>
<th>Log reduction</th>
<th>Drug (dose, mg/kg)</th>
<th>Log reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouse BAP</td>
<td>S. pneumoniae</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.13</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone (50)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse BAP</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat HP</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.56</td>
<td>Vancomycin (100)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat HP</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>Nafcillin (150)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** Data are expressed as reduction in bacterial burden in the infected organ, compared with that in untreated, infected controls. For bronchial-alveolar pneumonia (BAP), mice were treated at 1 and 4 h after infection, and lungs were harvested 24 h after infection. For hematogenous pneumonia (HP), rats were treated once daily (daptomycin and vancomycin) or twice daily (nafcillin) for 6 days after infection, and lungs were harvested on day 7. MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; S. pneumoniae, Staphylococcus pneumoniae.

RESULTS

In multiple animal models, daptomycin has been demonstrated to have efficacy against both S. aureus and S. pneumoniae, including skin and soft tissue (thigh) infections [10], meningitis [11], and endocarditis [12], but has specifically failed in a simple model of BAP (table 1). No detectable reduction in the bacterial burden was observed at 24 h after infection, even at 100 mg/kg, a dose that produces drug exposure significantly greater than that produced in clinical settings, whereas ceftriaxone reduced the bacterial burden by >5 orders of magnitude during the same period. In contrast, daptomycin was effective in a model of hematogenous pneumonia (HP) meant to mimic infections that frequently develop as a consequence of bacteremia. Daptomycin was more effective than was either nafcillin or vancomycin in this system; it reduced methicillin-susceptible S. aureus and methicillin-resistant S. aureus bacterial loads by >100-fold. Additionally, daptomycin was active in a mouse model of inhalation anthrax (IA) [13]. In this model, untreated controls died within 1–4 days of infection. Treatment with daptomycin or ciprofloxacin for 21 days protected 90% of the mice tested; results of pathological examination were normal for surviving mice.

The pathophysiology of BAP differed significantly from that of hematogenous and anthrax infections. The infection produced in the 24-h BAP model was confined to the interior of the airway, with no notable tissue disruption and minimal inflammatory response [14]. Importantly, the epithelial lining of the air space remained intact and largely unperturbed. In contrast, the infections produced by HP and IA resulted in significant tissue involvement and disseminated bacteremia. Daptomycin-treatment failure appeared to be specific to infections limited to the interior airspace with minimal histopathological disruption.

Because of the presence of pulmonary surfactant, the interior of the airway represents a unique environment within the body. Surfactant is composed primarily of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (PC) (~80% in all mammalian species), along with significant amounts of phosphatidylglycerol (PG) and smaller amounts of minor phospholipids, neutral lipids, and cholesterol [3]. There are 4 protein components: hydrophilic proteins SP-A and SP-D and hydrophobic proteins SP-B and SP-C. Daptomycin has previously been shown to be inserted into artificial membrane vesicles composed of PC and PC/PG [15, 16]. We reasoned that daptomycin could interact with pulmonary surfactant, in-
cluding insertion into lipid aggregates, and that this would be expected to sequester and inhibit the antibiotic.

The antistaphylococcal activity of daptomycin was tested in MHBc supplemented with increasing amounts of bovine-derived surfactant (Survanta). This preparation is used clinically to treat respiratory distress syndrome in premature infants; its composition differs slightly from that of human surfactant [3], but it is functionally equivalent. As shown in figure 1A, daptomycin was significantly inhibited by the inclusion of even small amounts of surfactant in the test media, with a 16–32-fold loss of potency in 1% surfactant and a >100-fold loss in 10% surfactant. By contrast, ceftriaxone was unaffected by surfactant. Activity against S. pneumoniae was similarly inhibited (data not shown). Physiological concentrations of phospholipids in alveolar surfactant are significantly higher than the 2.5 mg/mL in our 10%-surfactant sample [17]. Daptomycin concentrations in mouse lungs are 1–5 μg/g of tissue (data not shown), which is well below the MIC for S. pneumoniae or S. aureus in the presence of surfactant.

The inhibition of daptomycin by pulmonary surfactant is directly related to its novel mechanism of action: calcium-dependent insertion into the bacterial membrane. Insertion of daptomycin into surfactant aggregates in vitro could be demonstrated by means of a fluorescence assay (figure 1B). The fluorescence emission of daptomycin is highly dependent on its environment. Transition from an aqueous to a hydrophobic environment is accompanied by a significant increase in fluorescence intensity and a shift in emission maximum ($E_{max}$) from 460 to 440 nm [15]. We monitored daptomycin fluorescence in the presence of surfactant and demonstrated a calcium-dependent increase in fluorescence intensity (with an $E_{max}$ at 445 nm), which is consistent with calcium-induced insertion into lipid aggregates. Addition of magnesium ions produced no increase in fluorescence signal, indicating that this process is calcium specific. Daptomycin incubated in the presence of surfactant and calcium was removed from solution by a simple centrifugation step, which also removed surfactant aggregates; this finding is consistent with sequestration of the drug (data not shown).

Direct insertion into the bacterial membrane is a unique feature of the daptomycin mechanism. Consistent with this, no other marketed class of antibiotics was affected by the inclusion of 1% surfactant in the microdilution assay (data not shown). Inclusion of a low level of detergent (0.1% Triton X-100) in the assay restored daptomycin activity, presumably by disrupting surfactant aggregates and preventing antibiotic sequestration.

**DISCUSSION**

The development of antibacterial agents for the treatment of respiratory infections has traditionally focused on antibacterial potency against the target pathogens. More recently, pharmaco-
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**Figure 1.** A, Inhibition of daptomycin by pulmonary surfactant. Antibacterial activity of daptomycin and ceftriaxone was determined by broth microdilution in Mueller-Hinton broth supplemented with Ca$^{2+}$ (MHBc) in the presence of increasing concentrations of pulmonary surfactant. Data are expressed as ratios of activity in the presence or absence of surfactant. B, Insertion of daptomycin into surfactant aggregates in vitro. The fluorescence emission of daptomycin (1 μg/mL) was determined in 5 mmol/L HEPES–0.2% glucose supplemented with 1% surfactant (HGS) after sequential addition of 1 mmol/L MgCl$_2$ and 1 mmol/L CaCl$_2$. Excitation was at 385 nm. AU, arbitrary units.
The interaction between daptomycin and pulmonary surfactant is a direct consequence of its mechanism of action. Daptomycin is inserted into the membrane of gram-positive bacteria and artificial lipid vesicles [7, 15, 16]. Data to date are consistent with direct membrane interaction, with no requirement for a protein or other macromolecular receptor. The composition of pulmonary surfactant is different from that of most eukaryotic membranes; it primarily contains PC and only low levels of cholesterol and sphingolipids [3]. Surfactant is also ~10% PG, a prominent component of the gram-positive plasma membrane [18]. Importantly, although daptomycin will interact with lipid vesicles composed of pure PC, the presence of negatively charged PG significantly enhances insertion into the membrane [16]. The calcium dependence of daptomycin-surfactant interactions, the absence of surfactant inhibition of other classes of antibiotic, and the demonstration of direct insertion of daptomycin into surfactant aggregates in vitro all support a model whereby daptomycin in the lungs is unable to efficiently distinguish between the vast surfactant layer and the relatively small surface area of target pathogens. Insertion into the surfactant layer is predicted to be an essentially irreversible process resulting in sequestration of the antibiotic, rendering it inactive.

The in vitro model described here is not a perfect mimic of the in vivo situation. The surfactant used differs from natural surfactant, largely because of the absence of proteins critical to surfactant function. Additionally, in the context of pulmonary infection, there can be a localized breakdown in surfactant function, which is not accounted for in our model. Finally, surfactant is typically present in the lungs as a monolayer or film on the surface of an aqueous layer, with its upper surface exposed to the air. In our model, surfactant, which is immiscible in standard antibiotic testing media, existed as large aggregates. However, daptomycin has been shown to be inserted into lipid monolayers at air-water interfaces [19]. By extension, we believe that the interaction between daptomycin and surfactant aggregates should accurately predict interactions with natural surfactant layers.

The failure of daptomycin in clinical trials for CAP and murine BAP was striking in light of its efficacy in other clinical settings and multiple animal models. We have provided here a plausible mechanistic basis for the lack of efficacy in the airway that is directly linked to the mechanism of action of the antibiotic. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a pulmonary-specific mechanism resulting in the failure of an antibiotic in a clinical trial, and, this article may be the first report of organ-specific inactivation of an antibacterial agent.
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